



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6089
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUES OF GENERATOR POLYNOMIALS OF BCH CODES
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Page 3, row 11: replace "α_i^s" by "$\alpha_i^{s_i}$". Page 5, row -17: replace "polynomials" by "polynomial". row -16: replace "<>" by "()". row -8: replace "fields" by "field". Page 6, row 10: delete "each" after "Then". Page 7, row -17: insert "a" before "local". Page 8, row 11: replace "K_{2_1}" by "$K_{1,2}$". Page 9, row 7: replace "<>" by "()". Page 11, row -15: replace "K_{2_1}" by "$K_{1,2}$".	Dear Professor, inform you that all the corrections suggested by the reviewers were made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		